

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CWP-2349-2022

Date of Decision : 09.02.2022

Krishan Lal

..... Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and others

..... Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE MAHABIR SINGH SINDHU

Present : Mr. Kanav Bansal, Advocate
for the petitioner.

MAHABIR SINGH SINDHU, J.

The petitioner has preferred the present petition under Article 226 of the Constitution for issuance of an appropriate writ, order or direction especially a writ in the nature of mandamus, directing the respondents to appoint the petitioner in Government service with all the consequential benefits as per policy dated 08.11.2011.

Learned counsel, on instructions from the petitioner, states that at this stage, instead of pressing the writ petition on merits, his client would be satisfied in case, legal notice dated 22.12.2021 (P-10) is decided expeditiously.

Notice of motion to that limited extent.

On the asking of the Court, Mr. Charanpreet Singh, AAG, Punjab, who is present through video conferencing, accepts notice on behalf of the respondents-State. Learned State counsel raises no objection against the innocuous prayer made on behalf of the petitioner.

In view of the agreed stand taken by both sides, but without

adjudicating the controversy on merits, writ petition is disposed off with direction to respondent No.3-Deputy Commissioner, Mansa, to consider and decide the aforesaid legal notice dated 22.12.2021 (P-10), in accordance with law by passing speaking order, within a period of four months from the date of receipt of certified copy of this order.

09.02.2022
mamta

(MAHABIR SINGH SINDHU)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether Reportable	Yes/No