

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

Sr. No.118

Civil Revision No.492 of 2022

Date of Decision : February 14, 2022

Daljeet Kaur

...Petitioner

Versus

Gurinderpal Kaur and another

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SUDHIR MITTAL

Present: Mr. Jagdish Manchanda, Advocate, for the petitioner.

SUDHIR MITTAL, J. (ORAL)

Vide the impugned order, objections filed by the petitioner against attachment and sale of land measuring 23 kanals 05 marlas have been rejected on the ground that the land in dispute was transferred by the husband during the pendency of the execution proceedings only to avoid execution of the decree passed against him.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that he does not press this revision petition, however, he may be permitted to object to the sale of the entire property in dispute on the ground that it is disproportionate to the amount sought to be recovered. Decretal amount is only Rs.4,72,400/- and the same can be recovered from sale of half an acre of land only.

Thus, the revision petition is dismissed as withdrawn. The petitioner shall have liberty to approach the executing Court for proportionate sale of property. If she files such an application within seven days from today and if the property in dispute has not been auctioned as yet, the auction sale shall be kept in abeyance for another period of seven days within which the application filed by the petitioner would be decided.

February 14, 2022

Ankur

Whether speaking/reasoned

Whether Reportable

Yes/No

Yes/No

**(SUDHIR MITTAL)
JUDGE**