

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

Sr. No.214

CRM-M-5147-2022

Date of decision : 18.7.2022

Supinderveer Singh

.....Petitioner

VERSUS

State of Punjab

..... Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE DEEPAK SIBAL

Present: Mr.AS Sandhu, Advocate for the petitioner

Mr.Sourav Khurana, DAG, Punjab

DEEPAK SIBAL, J.

Through the present petition filed under Section 438 Cr.P.C. the petitioner seeks anticipatory bail in FIR No.6 dated 21.01.2022 under Sections 406 and 498-A IPC registered at Police Station Kheri Gandian, District Patiala

On 08.02.2022, this Court had passed the following order: -

“Prayer in this petition is for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner in case FIR No.6 dated 21.01.2022 under Sections 406 and 498-A IPC registered at Police Station Kheri Gandian, District Patiala.

While drawing the attention of this Court to the allegations levelled in the FIR annexed as Annexure P-1, learned counsel for the petitioner submits that on account of a marital discord and temperamental differences between the parties, the FIR in question has been registered by his wife wherein totally false, vague and bald allegations have been levelled against the petitioner and his family of subjecting her to mental and physical harassment for not getting dowry as per

expectations. Learned counsel submits that a perusal of the FIR further reveals that there is no allegation of any demand much less any specific entrustment to the petitioner or his family and whatever articles were given during the marriage were just routine gifts. He further submits that the petitioner is willing to join investigation and cooperate with the investigating agency and further return all the dowry articles which may still remain in his possession.

Notice of motion for 18.07.2022.

Meanwhile, the petitioner is directed to join the investigation and appear before the investigating agency as and when called upon to do so. In the event of his arrest, he shall be admitted to interim bail on his furnishing bail bonds to the satisfaction of the Arresting/Investigating Officer. The petitioner shall abide by the terms and conditions as envisaged under Section 438(2) Cr.P.C.”

Learned State counsel submits that in pursuance to the afore quoted order the petitioner has joined the investigation; has cooperated with the investigating agency and that his custodial interrogation is not required by the State.

In view of the submissions made on behalf of the petitioner as recorded in the afore quoted order and in the light of the statement made by learned counsel for the State, the order of this Court dated 08.02.2022, granting *ad interim* anticipatory bail to the petitioner is made absolute.

18.07.2022
gsv

[DEEPAK SIBAL]
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned	:	Yes / No
Whether reportable	:	Yes / No