

112.

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CWP-2263-2022

Date of Decision: 10.03.2022

SUKHDEV ATRAY

.... Petitioner

Versus

STATE OF HARYANA AND OTHERS

.... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE JAISHREE THAKUR

Present:- Mr. Arjun Atri, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

JAISHREE THAKUR.J (Oral)

At the very outset, counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioner restricts his prayer for deciding the representation dated 13.01.2022 (Annexure P-5). He would submit that the petitioner would be satisfied in case the said representation is decided in a time bound manner.

Notice of motion.

At this stage, Mr. Vishal Malik, DAG, Haryana, who is present in the Court, accepts notice on behalf of the respondents and would submit that the respondents will look into the matter and will take a decision on the representation of the petitioner.

Let sufficient number of copies of the complete paper book be supplied to him during the course of day.

In view of the above, the present petition is disposed of by directing the respondents to take a decision on the representation dated

13.01.2022 (Annexure P-5) of the petitioner, within a period of two months from the date of receipt of certified copy of this order, in accordance with law. However, in case such a decision is not taken within the stipulated period, the officer responsible for the lapse would be personally liable to deposit costs of Rs.10,000/- with the PGIMER Poor Patients Welfare Fund, Chandigarh.

(JAISHREE THAKUR)
JUDGE

10.03.2022

sanjeev

Whether speaking/reasoned:	Yes/No
Whether Reportable:	Yes/No