

121

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CWP-5655-2022

Date of decision: 22.03.2022

NIRMAL SINGH AND OTHERS

...Petitioners

Versus

STATE OF PUNJAB AND OTHERS

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE JAISHREE THAKUR

Present:- Mr. Nitesh Singla, Advocate
for the petitioners.

JAISHREE THAKUR, J.(ORAL)

The instant petition has been filed under Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of India for the issuance of an appropriate writ, order or direction especially in the nature of mandamus to respondents department to step up the pay of the petitioners at par with respondent No.8.

At this stage, counsel for the petitioners limit his prayer to the extent that the legal notice dated 25.10.2021 Annexure P-8 served on behalf of the petitioners, should be decided expeditiously.

Notice of motion to the official respondents only.

At this stage, Mr. C. L. Pawar, Sr. DAG, Punjab, accepts notice on behalf of the official respondents and submit that the official respondents will look in the matter and will decide the legal notice as prayed. Let sufficient number of copies of the paper book be supplied to the State

counsel during the course of the week, failing which no action would be called for.

The instant petition is disposed of with the direction that the official respondents would decide the legal notice dated 25.10.2021 Annexure P-8 of the petitioners within a period of six weeks from the date of receipt of the certified copy of this order, in accordance with law and convey the decision to the petitioners. In case of failure to do so, the official responsible for the lapse would be liable to costs of Rs.20,000/- from his personal pocket, to be deposited with the Punjab and Haryana High Court Advocates Welfare Fund.

(JAISHREE THAKUR)
JUDGE

22.03.2022

Chetan Thakur

Whether speaking/reasoned :	Yes	No
Whether Reportable :	Yes	No