

**IN THE HIGH COURT FOR THE STATES OF PUNJAB AND
HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-5359-2022

Date of decision: 22.03.2022

Baljit**...Petitioner****Versus****State of Haryana and another****...Respondents****CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ARVIND SINGH SANGWAN**

Present:- Mr. Taqdeerjeet Singh Sidhu, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Deepak Kumar Grewal, DAG, Haryana.

None for respondent No. 2, despite service.

ARVIND SINGH SANGWAN, J. (Oral)

Prayer in this petition is for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner in criminal complaint case No.COMI-26/2014 dated 18.02.2014 titled as *Surjit vs Manoj Kumar*.

On 09.02.2022, the following order was passed:

“Counsel for the petitioner has relied upon the order dated 16.12.2021 passed in CRM-M No.52666 of 2021. It is further submitted that there are no direct allegations against the petitioner.

Notice of motion.

Mr. Deepak Grewal, DAG, Haryana who is present in the Court accepts notice on behalf of the respondent – State.

Counsel for the petitioner is directed to supply a copy of paperbook to counsel for the State during course of the day.

List again on 22.03.2022.

In the meantime, the petitioner is directed to appear before the trial Court within a period of 15 days

from today and the trial Court will release the petitioner on interim bail subject to his furnishing fresh bail/surety bonds.

Till the time, the petitioner surrenders before the trial Court, his arrest shall remain stayed.”

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner, in pursuance to the order dated 09.02.2022, has already appeared before the trial Court and he has been released on bail.

The aforesaid fact is not disputed by learned State counsel, being a private complaint case.

In view of the above, the petition is allowed and the order dated 09.02.2022 is made absolute subject to the conditions envisaged under Section 438(2) Cr.P.C.

22.03.2022

Waseem Ansari

**(ARVIND SINGH SANGWAN)
JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned

Yes/No

Whether reportable

Yes/No