

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CRM-M No.5766 of 2021

Date of Decision: 08th February, 2022.

Aabid

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana

...Respondent

(Heard through Video-Conferencing)

CORAM: HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE MEENAKSHI I. MEHTA

Present: Mohd. Arshad, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Ms. Ambika Sood, Additional Advocate
General, Haryana.

* * * * *

MEENAKSHI I. MEHTA, J. (ORAL)

The petitioner herein has sought the relief of anticipatory bail in the criminal case arising out of the FIR bearing No.103 dated 28.06.2013 registered at Police Station Nagina District Nuh Mewat, under Section 379 IPC.

Learned State counsel, on the instructions from HC Suresh Kumar from the above-said Police Station, apprises the Court that till date, the petitioner has never joined in the investigation and rather, he had been declared proclaimed offender in this case on 28.01.2014 and thus, he has been evading his arrest for the last more than eight years.

It has been held by the Apex Court in *State of Madhya Pradesh vs. Pradeep Sharma 2014(2) SCC 171* that “if the accused is

absconding and has been declared proclaimed offender in terms of Section 82 of Criminal Procedure Code, he should not be granted anticipatory bail”.

However, at this stage, learned counsel for the petitioner seeks permission to withdraw the instant petition while submitting that it has become infructuous.

Learned State counsel has no objection for the withdrawal of the present petition.

Resultantly, the petition in hand stands dismissed for having been withdrawn.`

(MEENAKSHI I. MEHTA)
JUDGE

08.02.2022.
seema

Whether speaking/reasoned?	Yes
Whether Reportable?	No