

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

219

CRM-M-6383-2022

Date of decision: 21.02.2022

Deepak

.....Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana

.....Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE MANJARI NEHRU KAUL

Present : Mr. Rahul Jaswal, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Ms. Tanushree Gupta, DAG, Haryana
for the respondent-State.

MANJARI NEHRU KAUL, J. (ORAL)

This is the second petition filed under Section 439 of the Code of Criminal Procedure, 1973 for grant of regular bail to the petitioner in case FIR No.112 dated 17.09.2020 lodged under Section 354-A IPC (Section 376 IPC added lateron) at Police Station Sadar, District Panipat.

Learned State counsel at the outset on instructions has submitted that 12 out of the 15 prosecution witnesses stand examined which also include the prosecutrix. She has further submitted that the prosecutrix while stepping into the witness box had supported the case of the prosecution in its entirety. Learned State counsel thus while opposing the prayer of the counsel opposite submits that in the circumstances, the trial would not take much time to conclude.

In view of the submissions made by learned State counsel, learned counsel for the petitioner does not press the instant petition.

Dismissed as withdrawn.

21.02.2022

Vinay

**(MANJARI NEHRU KAUL)
JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No
Whether reportable : Yes/No