

**HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-6217-2021

Date of decision: 07.04.2022

Harwinder Singh

....Petitioner

V/s.

State of Punjab and others

....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE RITU BAHRI.

Present: None for the petitioner.

Mr. P.K.S.Phoolka, Advocate
for the respondent No.3.

Mr. P.S.Bajwa, Addl.A.G., Punjab.

Ritu Bahri, J. (Oral)

The petitioner-Harwinder Singh has filed the present petition for cancellation of the bail order dated 04.06.2020 (Annexure P-3) passed in CRM-M-11347-2020 in view of compromise dated 15.02.2020 wherein Charanjit Singh @ Charanjeet Singh had been granted bail. His grievance is that terms of the compromise dated 15.02.2020 have not been complied with.

On 17.03.2021 when notice was issued, learned counsel for the State, on instructions from SI Darshan Singh informed that the terms of the compromise dated 15.02.2020 are not being complied with by Charanjit Singh-respondent No.3.

Learned counsel for respondent No. 3 has filed reply by way of affidavit of respondent No. 3-Charanjit Singh. He has placed on record Panchayati compromise dated 15.02.2020 which was attested on 10.09.2021 (Annexure R-1/3). A perusal of the said

compromise shows that 1 Kanal 15 Marlas of land has been given to Harwinder Singh and another (in short 'party No.1'). The internal house alongwith the land in front of the house of Charanjit Singh had been left by him in favour of party No.1. After leaving his house and land to party No.1, he lived on rent at Village Jaisingh wala for 9 months in the house of Kuldeep Singh son of Sadhu Singh. Party No. 1 had to give 13 Marlas of land which is in front of the gate of Bheem Singh, to Charanjit Singh. However, out of total 13 Marlas of land, party No. 1 had got the registry of 7 Marlas on 03.09.2020 in favour of Charanjit Singh and party No. 1 had got the registry of 3 Marlas in favour of Charanjit Singh on 12.03.2021. Hence, Charanjit Singh has fulfilled all the conditions of the compromise and not harassing party No.1.

Keeping in view of Panchayati compromise (Annexure R-1/3), the present petition is dismissed.

(RITU BAHRI)
JUDGE

07.04.2022
Divyanshi

Whether speaking/reasoned:	Yes/No
Whether reportable:	Yes/No