

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRM-W-224-2022 IN/AND
CRWP-1081-2022
Date of decision: 16.03.2022

KAMLA DEVI

...Petitioner

Versus

STATE OF HARYANA AND OTHERS

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SURESHWAR THAKUR

Present: Mr. Atul Lakhanpal, Senior Advocate with
Ms. Neha Lakhanpal, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Pradeep Prakash Chahar, DAG, Haryana.

SURESHWAR THAKUR, J. (ORAL)

CRM-W-224-2022

The instant application has been filed seeking permission to place on record the affidavit of detainee one Suresh Kumar.

For good, and, valid reasons recorded in the application, the same is allowed, subject to all just exceptions.

Main case

1. Though, the orders made on 14.02.2022 are not complied with at the instance of co-respondents No.2, and, 3 yet when the learned counsel for the petitioner, has ensured the production, in his Chambers, rather through video conferencing, of one, Suresh Kumar, thereupon the official respondents concerned, are relieved of their duty to produce before this Court, one Suresh Kumar son of Ram Dass.

2. The learned counsel for the petitioner submits, at this stage, that the allegedly illegally detained detainee one Suresh Kumar son of Ram Dass

has been released from police custody, thereupon, he has instructions to

make a prayer, that the petition be dismissed as infructuous. The above prayer is accepted. The petition is dismissed, as becoming rendered infructuous.

3. Liberty as prayed for, liberty is reserved to the petitioner to institute a suit claiming damages from the respondents No.2 and, 3, for the latter purportedly illegally detaining him in police custody.

(SURESHWAR THAKUR)
JUDGE

16.03.2022

Ithlesh

Whether speaking/reasoned:-

Yes/No

Whether reportable:-

Yes/No