

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH
(102+204-1)

CRM-M-5748-2021 (O&M)

Date of decision:- 08.03.2022

Mohit Kumar @ Mohit Kumar Bansal and another ...Petitioners

Versus

State of U.T., Chandigarh ...Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SUVIR SEHGAL

Present:- Mr. Sunil Doda, Advocate for the applicants-petitioners.

Mr. Sumit Jain, A.P.P., U.T., Chandigarh.

SUVIR SEHGAL, J. (Oral)

Heard through video conferencing.

CRM-8395-2022

For the reasons given in the application, it is allowed.

Annexures P-3 and P-4 are taken on record.

CRM-M-5748-2021

Instant petition has been filed under Section 438 of the Code of Criminal Procedure, 1973 seeking pre-arrest bail in case FIR No.25 dated 08.02.2020, registered for offences under Sections 406 and 498-A of the Indian Penal Code, 1860, at Women Police Station, Sector – 17, Chandigarh.

FIR, Annexure P-1, has been lodged by Alisha, wife of Mohit Kumar @ Mohit Kumar Bansal (petitioner No.1), against her husband, Sunita Bansal (mother-in-law) and Randhir Kumar @ Randhir Bansal (petitioner No.2), a relative of her husband.

FIR is an outcome of a marital dispute, which has been settled by virtue of compromise deed dated 06.09.2021, Annexure P-3, which is supported by an affidavit of the complainant.

Vide order dated 20.08.2021, this Court granted interim anticipatory bail to the petitioners and directed them to join the investigation.

Upon instructions from A.S.I., Krishan, State counsel submits that the petitioners have joined the investigation and they are no longer required for custodial interrogation.

Keeping in view the above facts, petition is allowed. Order dated 20.08.2021 is made absolute, subject to the conditions laid down in Section 438 (2) of the Code of Criminal Procedure.

08.03.2022
Kamal

(SUVIR SEHGAL)
JUDGE

Whether Speaking/Reasoned	Yes/No
Whether Reportable	Yes/No