

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

108

**CWP-3416-2022(O&M)
Decided on : 23.02.2022**

Smt. Bimlesh Tayal

....Petitioner

VS

Adjudicating Authority and another

....Respondents

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AJAY TEWARI
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE PANKAJ JAIN**

Present: Mr. Pankaj Gupta, Advocate
for the petitioner.

AJAY TEWARI, J.(Oral)

1. This petition has been filed against order dated No. 8.1.2020, (Annexure P-3) whereby property of petitioner was provisionally attached and order dated 31.8.2021 passed by adjudicating authority confirming the attachment order (Annexure P-8).
2. The limited prayer made by learned counsel for the petitioner is that against the impugned order the petitioner has filed an appeal before the appellate Tribunal but the Tribunal is not functional and has prayed the impugned order be kept in abeyance till the appellate authority decides the application for stay.
3. Notice of motion.
4. On advance notice, Mr. Satya Pal Jain, Additional Solicitor General of India with Mr. Arvind Moudgil, Senior Panel Counsel and Ms. Jotika Panesar, Advocate appears and accepts notice on behalf of the respondents and has fairly accepted that the Tribunal is still not functional.

5. In these circumstances, no coercive action will be taken against the petitioner till such time the application for stay is taken up and decided by the appellate Tribunal whenever the Tribunal starts functioning.
6. Petition stands disposed of in above terms.
7. Since the main case has been decided, the pending application, if any, also stands disposed of.

(AJAY TEWARI)
JUDGE

(PANKAJ JAIN)
JUDGE

23.02.2022
anuradha

Whether speaking/reasoned	-	Yes
Whether reportable	-	No