

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

203

**1. CR-7666-2018
Date of Order: 18.04.2022**

GEETA

..Petitioner

Versus

RAJIV SHARMA

..Respondent

2. CR-7675-2018

GEETA

..Petitioner

Versus

RAJIV SHARMA

..Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANIL KSHETARPAL

Present: None for the petitioner.

Mr. Hitesh Pandit, Advocate
for the respondent.

ANIL KSHETARPAL, J(Oral)

These two revision petitions have been filed assailing the correctness of interlocutory orders passed by the Rent Controller during the pendency of a petition filed under Section 13 of the East Punjab Urban Rent Restriction Act, 1949.

Sh. Hitesh Pandit, learned counsel representing the landlord has submitted that pursuant to a settlement, the petitioner has already handed over the vacant possession of the tenanted premises. He submits that an application for permission to withdraw the case before the Rent Controller was filed under the Signatures of the landlord as well as tenant, however, the same was not entertained as proceedings were pending before this Court.

Keeping in view the aforesaid facts, both the revision petitions are dismissed as infructuous.

Since, the learned counsel representing the petitioner is not present, therefore, she will have liberty to file an application for revival, if the facts are otherwise.

All the pending miscellaneous applications, if any, are also disposed of.

April 18th, 2022

Ay

(ANIL KSHETARPAL)
JUDGE

<i>Whether speaking/reasoned</i>	:	<i>Yes/No</i>
<i>Whether reportable</i>	:	<i>Yes/No</i>