

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

209

CRM-M-5073-2022

Date of Decision :11.02.2022

Jitender

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana

...Respondent

(Through Video Conferencing)

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI

Present: Mr. Manpreet Singh Longia, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Karan Garg, AAG, Haryana.

Harsimran Singh Sethi, J. (Oral)

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner does not press the prayer as raised in the present petition for the grant of regular bail, at this stage but, prays that as the complainant is delaying the proceedings by not appearing before the trial Court for recording his testimony despite issuance of notice, which fact is causing prejudice to the petitioner hence, a direction be given to the trial Court to record the testimony of the complainant as expeditiously as possible without any further delay after securing the presence of the complainant.

Learned State counsel submits that he has no objection in case the prayer of the petitioner is accepted.

Though, the present petition has not been pressed by the

petitioner but, keeping in view the facts and circumstance, the trial Court is requested to ensure that complainant is examined as expeditiously as possible. It will be appreciated, in case, the complainant is examined within a period of two months of the next date of hearing positively.

The present petition stands disposed accordingly.

February 11, 2022
aarti

(HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No
Whether reportable : Yes/No