

[128] IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CWP-2206-2022

Date of Decision : 08.02.2022

Satish Kumar Deshwal

...Petitioner

versus

State of Haryana and others

....Respondents

Coram : Hon'ble Mr. Justice B.S. Walia

Present : Mr. Aman Pal, Advocate for the petitioner.
Mr. Tapan Kumar, DAG, Haryana.

B.S. Walia, J. (VC)

[1] Case is being taken up for hearing through Video Conferencing due to Covid-19 pandemic.

[2] Learned State Counsel on instructions from *Shri Pushp Raj, Assistant, office of the DGP, Haryana*, states that impugned order (Annexure P-22) is merely clarificatory and that the name of the petitioner is included in the list of candidates recommended for consideration for promotion to the post of Deputy Superintendent of Police before the Scrutiny-cum-Selection Committee.

[3] Learned Counsel for the petitioner states that in the light of the statement of learned DAG, he does not press the writ petition and the same may be disposed of as having become infructuous.

[4] In the light of the position noted above, as well as statement of learned counsel for the parties, the instant writ petition is *disposed of* as having become infructuous.

(B.S. Walia)
Judge

08.02.2022

'Rajneesh'

Whether speaking/ reasoned : *Yes/No*
Whether reportable : *Yes/No*