

**114IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CR-7470-2018 (O&M)

Date of decision: 12.05.2022

Mandir Thakur Dwara

....Petitioner

Versus

Surinder Kumar and another

..Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANIL KSHETARPAL

Present: Mr.Anil Chawla, Advocate for the petitioner

ANIL KSHETARPAL, J (Oral)

The Rent Controller has assessed the provisional rent in accordance with the provisions of East Punjab Urban Rent Restriction Act, 1949, as interpreted by the Supreme Court in '**Rakesh Wadhawan and others vs. Jagdamba Industrial Corporation and others**' (2002) 5 SCC 440. The petitioner before this Court is a landlord. Learned counsel representing the petitioner contends that the respondent while filing the reply denied the relationship of the landlord and the tenant between them.

On 22.03.2018, the respondent through his counsel admitted the petitioner to be their landlord and specifically stated that they do not deny the relationship of the landlord and the tenant between them.

The order in question has been passed on 15.09.2018 i.e after the date when the defendant had suffered a statement.

Keeping in view the aforesaid facts, no ground to interfere is made out.

Hence, dismissed.

All the pending miscellaneous applications, if any, are also disposed of.

12.05.2022
rekha
Whether speaking/reasoned :
Whether reportable :

Yes/No
Yes/No

(ANIL KSHETARPAL)
JUDGE