

[123] IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

COCP No.441 of 2022(O&M)

Date of Decision : 06.07.2022

Dr. Santosh Kalra, w/o Shri A.C. Kumar ...Petitioner

versus

Krishan Kumar, Secretary, Deptt. of Higher
Education, Mini Secretariat, Sector 9, Chandigarh.Respondent

Coram : Hon'ble Mr. Justice B.S. Walia

Present : None for the petitioner.
Mr. Ayush Sarna, Asstt. AG, Punjab.

B.S. Walia, J. (Oral)

[1] Prayer in the petition is for initiation of proceedings against the respondent for intentional and willful defiance of order, Annexure P-1, dated 03.11.2021 (wrongly mentioned as 08.11.2021 in the contempt petition) in CWP No.22500 of 2021 in case titled as '***Santosh Kalara*** (wrongly mentioned as Santosh Kalra in the contempt petition) *versus State of Punjab.*'

[2] Reply has been filed by the learned Asstt. AG, Punjab along with copy of speaking order (Annexures R-1 & R-2) dated 15.03.2022 and 29.06.2022. The same are taken on record. Copy of the same is stated to have been forwarded to the counsel for the petitioner on 29.06.2022.

[3] However, despite the petitioner being represented by three counsel, none is present on behalf of the petitioner.

[4] A perusal of order (Annexures R-1 & R-2) dated 15.03.2022 and 29.06.2022 reveals that the claim of the petitioner has been considered in terms of the directions dated 03.11.2021 in CWP No.22500

of 2021 and representation dated 01.06.2021 and rejected.

[5] In view of the position noted above, the instant petition is *disposed of* as not calling for any action against the respondent under the Contempt of Courts Act, 1971, while granting liberty to the petitioner to challenge orders (Annexures R-1 & R-2) dated 15.03.2022 and 29.06.2022 by way of appropriate proceedings in accordance with law.

(B.S. Walia)
Judge

06.07.2022
'Rajneesh'

Whether speaking/ reasoned : Yes/No

Whether reportable : Yes/No