

[114] IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

COCN No.360 of 2020
Date of Decision : 07.04.2022

Kailash Chand ...Petitioner

Versus

Amneet P. Kumar, IAS, Director of Secondary
Education, Haryana Shiksha Sadan, Sector 5,
Panchkula and othersRespondents

Coram : Hon'ble Mr. Justice B.S. Walia

Present : None for the petitioner.
Mr. Pawan Kumar Longia, DAG, Haryana.

B.S. Walia, J. (Oral)

[1] Prayer in the instant petition is for initiating action against the respondents for intentional and willful defiance of order (Annexure P-1) dated 13.09.2019 in CWP No.25762 of 2019.

[2] A perusal of order (Annexure P-1) reveals that CWP No.25762 of 2019 was disposed of vide order dated 13.09.2019 by directing the respondents to take appropriate action for completing the service book of the petitioner and also to consider and decide as to whether, the petitioner had wrongly been denied the benefit of increments for the last 10 years with needful to be done within 03 months from the date of receipt of certified copy of the order.

[3] Notice has yet not been issued in the instant case.

[4] None is present on behalf of the petitioner. For that matter, none was present on behalf of the petitioner on 14.03.2022 and 25.03.2022.

[5] However, reply has been filed by the learned State Counsel and he undertakes to furnish a copy of the same to the learned counsel for the petitioner.

[6] Learned State Counsel on the basis of reply contends that in compliance of order dated 13.09.2019 in CWP No.25762 of 2019, service book of the petitioner has been completed and the benefits of increments for the past 10 years have also been released to the petitioner along with arrears in respect thereto.

[7] In view of order dated 13.09.2019 in CWP No.25762 of 2019 having been complied with and service book of the petitioner having been completed besides increments for 10 years also having been granted and arrears in respect thereto having been released to the petitioner, no action is called for against the respondents under the Contempt of Courts Act, 1971.

[8] Contempt petition is accordingly *disposed of* as such.

(B.S. Walia)
Judge

07.04.2022
'Rajneesh'

Whether speaking/ reasoned	:	Yes/No
Whether reportable	:	Yes/No