

**IN THE PUNJAB AND HARYANA HIGH COURT AT
CHANDIGARH**

266

CWP-2507-2022

Date of Decision: 12.12.2022

JEET RAM

... Petitioner

VERSUS

STATE OF HARYANA AND OTHERS

... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VINOD S. BHARDWAJ.

Present: Mr. Rahul, Advocate for
Mr. Gurpreet Singh, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Vivek Chauhan, AAG, Haryana.

Mr. Rajesh Gaur, Advocate
for respondents No.2 to 4.

VINOD S. BHARDWAJ, J. (ORAL)

The present petition has been filed under Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of India, 1950 for issuance of a writ in the nature of Mandamus directing the respondents to issue a new AP electricity connection in favour of the petitioner.

Learned counsel for the respondents No.2 to 4 contends the present petition has become infructuous inasmuch as the grievance of the petitioner has already been redressed and he has no subsisting cause of action as of now.

The assertion made above is not refuted by the learned counsel for the petitioner.

Disposed of as having been rendered infructuous.

12.12.2022.

rajender

**(VINOD S. BHARDWAJ)
JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No
Whether reportable : Yes/No