

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CRM-M-5041 of 2022
Date of Decision:- 06.04.2022**

Irshad

....Petitioner

Vs.

State of Haryana

....Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KARAMJIT SINGH

Present:- Mr. Vipul Aggarwal, Advocate
with Ms. Sunita Gupta, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Naveen Sheoran, DAG, Haryana.

KARAMJIT SINGH, J.

Prayer is for grant for regular bail to the petitioner in case having FIR No.179 dated 20.05.2021 registered under Sections 436 of IPC, 1860 (Section 34 of IPC and Section 25, 25A, 29 of Arms Act added subsequently), Police Station Nangal Chaudhri, District Mahendergarh.

Notice to the respondent.

On asking of the Court Mr. Naveen Sheoran, DAG, Haryana, accepts notice on behalf of State.

The counsel for the petitioner submitted that the FIR in this case was registered against unknown persons. The petitioner was

nominated as accused on the basis of disclosure made by certain person. The counsel further contended that presently the petitioner is lodged in judicial custody and nothing was recovered from his possession. The counsel further made prayer for grant of regular bail to the petitioner.

The bail application is opposed by the State counsel who submitted that there are serious allegations against the petitioner that he set on fire grocery shop of complainant Sat Narain during the night time on 15.05.2021, as a result of which, the complainant suffered huge financial loss.

I have considered the submissions made by counsel for the parties. Admittedly, the petitioner was not named in the FIR and he was nominated as an accused on the basis of disclosure made by some person. The petitioner was arrested on 25.12.2021 and presently lodged in judicial custody. No incriminating article was recovered from his possession. On completion of investigation, challan has been presented in the court concerned. Co-accused Narender @ Shutar has already been granted regular bail by the Sessions Court. Undoubtedly, the petitioner is also involved in another three criminal cases, but he is on bail in all those cases. It will take time for conclusion of trial which is yet to commence. So no purpose is going to be served by keeping the petitioner in custody for any longer period.

Accordingly, without commenting on the merits of the case, the present petition is allowed and the petitioner is hereby

ordered to be released on regular bail subject to furnishing bail and surety bonds to the satisfaction of the CJM/Duty Magistrate concerned during the pendency of the trial.

06.04.2022
P. Chawla

(KARAMJIT SINGH)
JUDGE

Whether reasoned / speaking?	Yes / No
Whether reportable?	Yes / No