

**IN THE HIGH COURT FOR THE STATES OF PUNJAB AND
HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH**

**CRM-M-5021-2022
Date of decision: 24.03.2022**

Parveen

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana

...Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ARVIND SINGH SANGWAN

Present:- Mr. K. L. Saini, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Deepak Kumar Grewal, DAG, Haryana.

ARVIND SINGH SANGWAN, J. (Oral)

This petition has been filed under Section 439 of the Code of Criminal Procedure for grant of regular bail to the petitioner in case FIR No. 586 dated 25.12.2020, registered under Section 379-A and 411 of the IPC at Police Station City Jind, District Jind.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that as per allegations in the FIR, registered at the instance of complainant Garima, it is stated that on 25.12.2020, she along with her sister-in-law namely Kiran was present in the market for purchasing some household articles and in the meantime, some unknown persons snatched his purse and ran away. It is further stated that in her purse, she has kept Rs. 20,000/- and two mobile phones.

Learned counsel further submits that during investigation, the police found that in fact it is the real brother of the petitioner, namely Sanjay, who has committed the offence and from the petitioner, recovery of a mobile phone was effected and, therefore, the primary charge against the

petitioner will be under Section 411 IPC.

Learned counsel further submits that the petitioner is in judicial custody for the last 05 months and 18 days and he is not involved in any other case.

Learned counsel further submits that in fact the petitioner has been nominated in this case only on account of the reason that he is the real brother of main accused Sanjay, who has not yet been arrested.

Learned State counsel, on the basis of the custody certificate, filed today in Court, has not disputed the fact that the petitioner is not involved in any other case and he is in judicial custody for the last 05 months and 18 days and *challan* stands presented.

I have heard learned counsel for the parties.

Without commenting upon the merits of the case, considering the fact that the petitioner is in judicial custody for the last 05 months and 18 days; he is not involved in any other case and also in view of the fact that conclusion of trial is likely to take some time, the instant petition is allowed. The petitioner is ordered to be released on regular bail on his furnishing bail/surety bonds to the satisfaction of the trial Court/Duty Magistrate/Illaq Magistrate, concerned.

24.03.2022

Waseem Ansari

(ARVIND SINGH SANGWAN)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned

Yes/No

Whether reportable

Yes/No