

Rekha

...Petitioner

Versus

Sh. Ganga Ram Punia, S.P., Karnal

....Respondent

Coram : Hon'ble Mr. Justice B.S. WaliaPresent : Mr. Arum Kumar, Advocate for the petitioner.
Mr. Pawan Kumar Longia, DAG, Haryana.

B.S. Walia, J. (Oral)

[1] Prayer in the petition is for initiating action against the respondents for intentional and willful defiance of order, Annexure P/3 dated 03.11.2021 in CRM-M No.46520 of 2021 in case titled as Rekha vs. State of Haryana and Ors.

[2] A perusal of order, Annexure P/3 dated 03.11.2021 reveals that CRM-M No.46520 of 2021 was disposed of by directing the respondent herein to consider and decide representation dated 28.10.2021 filed by the petitioner in accordance with law within two months from the date of receipt of copy of the order.

[3] Learned counsel for the petitioners contends that the instant petition was filed on account of failure of the respondents to do the needful.

[4] Notice has yet not been issued in the instant case. However, learned DAG, who is present, has produced copy of speaking order dated 10.01.2022 passed by the respondent deciding representation dated 28.10.2021 and holding that whenever the petitioner is required for

investigation, advance notice/intimation would be given to her with sufficient time as per procedure laid down in the CrPC. The aforementioned order is taken on record. Copy thereof supplied to learned counsel for the petitioner, who on perusal of the same states that in the circumstances, he does not press the instant petition.

[5] In view of the position noted above as well as statement of learned counsel for the petitioner, the contempt petition is disposed of as not calling for any action against the respondent under the Contempt of Courts Act, 1971.

(B.S. Walia)
Judge

01.04.2022
'Amit'

Whether speaking/ reasoned	:	Yes/No
Whether reportable	:	Yes/No