

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

208

CRM-M-4684-2022

Date of Decision: 04.08.2022

Farida ...Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab ...Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE GURVINDER SINGH GILL

Present: Mr. M.S.Yadav, Advocate, for
Mr. Sunny K. Singla, Advocate, for the petitioner.

Mr. H.S.Multani, AAG, Punjab,
assisted by ASI Harbax Singh.

GURVINDER SINGH GILL, J. (Oral)

1. The petitioner has approached this Court seeking grant of anticipatory bail in respect of a case registered vide FIR No.141 dated 23.11.2021 under Sections 3,4 & 8 of the Punjab Prohibition of Cow Slaughter Act, 1955 and Sections 295-A, 428,153-A,429,120-B IPC registered at P.S Sadar Ahmedgarh, District Malerkotla.
2. At the time of issuance of notice of motion on 04.02.2022, this Court passed the following order:

“Prayer is for grant of anticipatory bail in case FIR No.141 dated 23.11.2021 under Sections 3,4 & 8 of the Punjab Prohibition of Cow Slaughter Act, 1955 and Sections 295-A, 428,153-A,429,120-B IPC registered at P.S Sadar Ahmedgarh, District Malerkotla.

FIR was registered on the complaint of one Sandeep Kumar, who stated that Saifu, Farida (present petitioner)-wife of Saifu, Nikka, Talib, Haneef, Salim @ Kaka were indulging in the business of selling cow meat by slaughtering the cows roaming in the streets. They are alleged to have put the parts of body of cows in the gunny bags and thrown the same in the

drain going from Malerkotla to Khanna Road. It is alleged that sentiments of the complainant besides other members of the community were hurt.

Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that the allegations are totally false. No recovery has been effected. Saifu @ Saif Ali-husband of the petitioner and other co-accused namely, Khushi Mohd. and Jakir Hussain were arrested and released on regular bail.

Notice of motion for 30.05.2022.

Meanwhile, in the event of arrest, the petitioner shall be released on interim anticipatory bail to the satisfaction of the Arresting/Investigating Officer, subject to the conditions provided under Section 438 (2) Cr.P.C.

The petitioner is also directed to join the investigation and cooperate with the Investigating Agency, as and when required.”

3. Learned State counsel upon instructions has informed that pursuant to interim directions issued by this Court, the petitioner has since joined investigation and is not required for any custodial interrogation and that she is not wanted in any other case.
4. Having regard to the aforestated position, wherein the petitioner is stated to have joined investigation and is not required for any custodial interrogation and otherwise has a clean record, the petition is accepted and the interim directions issued by this Court vide order dated 04.02.2022 are hereby made absolute subject to the condition that the petitioner shall join investigation as and when called upon to do so and cooperate with the Investigating Officer and shall also abide by the conditions as provided under Section 438 (2) Cr.P.C.

04.08.2022

Vimal

(GURVINDER SINGH GILL)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned: **Yes/No**
Whether reportable: **Yes/No**