

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA  
AT CHANDIGARH**

**103**

**CR-7333 of 2014 (O&M)  
Date of decision: 11.11.2022**

**Mangat Rai**

**..Petitioner**

**Versus**

**Maya Devi**

**..Respondent**

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANIL KSHETARPAL**

Present: Mr. Kashish Garg, Advocate  
for the petitioner.

Mr. Dinesh Kumar Bhardwaj, Advocate  
for the respondent.

**ANIL KSHETARPAL, J(Oral)**

The petitioner herein, is a tenant in the premises owned by the respondent. His application for permission to lead additional evidence has been dismissed by the Rent Controller while observing that the landlady closed her evidence on 06.03.2012 and thereafter the tenant (the petitioner) availed 24 effective opportunities, spreading over a period of more than 2 years, including the various last opportunities, subject to the payment of costs.

It may be noted here that the eviction petition was filed in the year 2010. The petitioner wants to summon the record from the offices of Sales Tax, Income Tax, VAT and other documents in order to prove that the landlady has transferred certain property in favour of other family members during the pendency of the petition. The petitioner has already been granted more than sufficient opportunities to prove those facts.

Hence, no ground to interfere is made out.

Dismissed.

All the pending miscellaneous applications, if any, are also disposed of.

November 11, 2022

(ANIL KSHETARPAL)  
JUDGE

nt

|                                  |   |               |
|----------------------------------|---|---------------|
| <i>Whether speaking/reasoned</i> | : | <i>Yes/No</i> |
| <i>Whether reportable</i>        | : | <i>Yes/No</i> |