

114

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-4812-2022

Date of decision : 08.02.2022

Anil Kumar Aggarwal @ Anil Kumar Gupta

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIKAS BAHL

Present: Mr. Anmol Rattan Singh Sidhu, Sr. Advocate with
Mr. Suvir Sidhu, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Sarabjit S. Cheema, AAG, Punjab.

(Through Video Conferencing)

VIKAS BAHL, J. (ORAL)

Learned Senior Counsel for the petitioner, at the outset, submits that inadvertently, respondent No.1 has been made as State of Haryana instead of State of Punjab and thus, prayed that the said mistake in the memo of parties may kindly be rectified.

In view of the abovesaid oral request, the mistake as pointed out by learned Senior Counsel for the petitioner is ordered to be rectified.

Registry is directed to do the needful.

This is a petition filed under Section 482 of Cr.P.C. for quashing of FIR No.216 dated 27.06.2020 registered under Sections 419, 420, 465, 467, 468, 469, 470, 471, 120-B of the Indian Penal Code, 1860 at

Police Station City Sangrur, District Sangrur (Annexure P-1) and all the consequential proceedings arising therefrom.

After arguing for sometime, learned Senior counsel for the petitioner seeks permission of this Court to withdraw the present petition with liberty to the petitioner to take up all the points as raised in the present petition and available to him, during the course of trial before the trial Court and also at the time of framing of the charges.

Learned Senior Counsel for the petitioner has further submitted that in case, charges are framed, the petitioner would move an application for exemption and the same may kindly be considered sympathetically as the petitioner is a professional and has to take care of his work.

In view of the statement made by the learned Senior Counsel for the petitioner, the present petition is dismissed as withdrawn with liberty to the petitioner to take up all the points as raised in the present petition and available to him, during the course of trial before the trial Court and also at the time of framing of the charges.

In case, the charges are framed in the present case and subsequent thereto, the petitioner moves an application for exemption, the trial Court is directed to consider the same sympathetically and in accordance with law.

08.02.2022

Pawan

**(VIKAS BAHL)
JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned:-

Yes/No

Whether reportable:-

Yes/No