

CRWP-1779-2022

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRWP-1779-2022

Date of decision:18.07.2022

Lakhwinder Singh

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and others

.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARNARESH SINGH GILL

Present:- Mr. Lupil Gupta, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

HARNARESH SINGH GILL, J. (ORAL)

The present criminal writ petition has been filed under Article 226/227 of the Constitution for issuance of a writ in the nature of mandamus directing respondents No.2 and 3 to ensure protection of life and liberty of the petitioner at the hands of respondents No.4 and 5, who are acting at the behest of respondent No.6 and 7 and unnecessarily harassing the petitioner by calling him in the police station.

Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that the petitioner used to ply Pheri on motor-cycle trolley; that his younger son, Amritpal Singh, who had worked in Dubai for some time, intended to go to Belgium; that one Mehtab Dhillon promised that he could send Amritpal Singh and son of Avtar Singh(respondent No.6) on work visa; that on 09.11.2020, respondent No.6 transferred a sum of Rs.8 lakh in the account of the petitioner and that on the same day, the petitioner had further transferred the said amount of Rs.8 lakh in the account of Mehtab Dhillon at the instance of respondent No.6.

CRWP-1779-2022

Learned counsel further contends that it was Mehtab Dhillon, who had committed the fraud with the petitioner and his son, and that the petitioner had submitted the application dated 12.03.2021 to the DSP, Headquarters, against one Harjit Singh whereas the real accused are Jaswant Singh and Mehtab Dhillon, who had committed fraud with the petitioner.

I have heard the learned counsel for the petitioner.

It is the case of the petitioner that respondent No.6 had contacted him for sending his son abroad on work visa and deposited the amount of Rs.8 lakh in his bank account. However, the petitioner had allegedly further transferred the aforesaid amount of Rs.8 lakh in the account of Mehtab Dhillon. There is a transaction between respondent No.6 and the petitioner, but he apprehends that respondent No.6 had misused blank signed cheques issued by the petitioner. The story put forth by the petitioner is not believable for the reason as to why he had received Rs.8 lakh from respondent No.6 for sending his son abroad on work visa and had further transferred the same in the account of Mehtab Dhillon. It appears that in order to save himself from the criminal liability, the petitioner has filed the present petition.

In view of the above, this Court does not find any merit in the present petition.

Dismissed.

18.07.2022

parveen kumar

(HARNARESH SINGH GILL)
JUDGE

Whether reasoned/speaking?
Whether reportable?

Yes/No
Yes/No