

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

(243)

CWP-2284-2020

Date of Decision : November 21, 2022

Neelam

.. Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and others

.. Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI

Present: None for the petitioner.

Mr. Sandeep Singh Maan, Additional Advocate General,
Haryana.

HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI J. (ORAL)

Learned counsel for the respondents, on the instructions from Mr. Mange Ram, Associate Professor, O/o Government College Women, Sampla, submits that the benefit of maternity leave period has already been extended to the petitioner and the grievance raised in the present petition has been satisfied.

On the last date of hearing, none had appeared on behalf of the petitioner. Today again, there is no representation on behalf of the petitioner.

Keeping in view the statement of the learned State counsel, no further orders are required to be passed in the present petition. However, the petitioner will be at liberty to file any representation in case, any of her grievance is yet to be redressed and in case any representation is filed by the petitioner, the Department is directed to decide the same within a period of eight weeks of the receipt of the same by passing an appropriate speaking order.

Disposed of in above terms.

November 21, 2022

harsha

(HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI)

JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No

Whether reportable : Yes/No