

108-2

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CWP-2195-2022

Date of decision: 14.02.2022

M/s Raman Metal Works

...Petitioner

Versus

Union of India and others

...Respondents

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AJAY TEWARI
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE PANKAJ JAIN**

Present : Mr. Sandeep Goyal, Advocate,
Mr. Naveep Monga, Advocate and
Mr. Nitish Bansal, Advocate
for the petitioner.

AJAY TEWARI, J. (ORAL)

By this Writ Petition, the petitioner has challenged the extension of six months' time granted to the authorities to complete the investigation in respect of goods and machinery which has been seized.

2. After arguing for some time, learned counsel for the petitioner states that he would not press this petition but now since all the documents have been submitted more than two months ago, some time limit be given for completion of investigation.

3. Notice of motion.

4. On asking of the Bench, Mr. Sourabh Goel, Sr. Standing Counsel, CBIC accepts notice on behalf of the respondents. Though he has argued that the period of six months is statutorily permitted yet he is also not in a position to deny that once necessary documents have been

submitted on 9th of December, 2021, it should not take so much time to complete investigation into those documents.

5. In the circumstances, the present Writ Petition is disposed off with a direction that the investigation be now concluded within a period of two months from the date of receipt of certified copy of this order.

(AJAY TEWARI)
JUDGE

February 14, 2022
Dpr

(PANKAJ JAIN)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned	:	Yes/No
Whether reportable	:	Yes/No