

**203 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH
CRM-M-4680-2022
Date of Decision: 19th September, 2022**

Sunil Kumar

... Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana

... Respondent

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AVNEESH JHINGAN

Present : Mr. Punit Malik, Advocate for the petitioner.
Ms. Geeta Sharma, DAG, Haryana.

AVNEESH JHINGAN , J.(Oral)

1. This petition under Section 439 Cr.P.C is filed seeking regular bail in FIR No. 709, dated 3rd November, 2020, under Sections 193, 297, 420, 467, 468, 471 and 120-B IPC and Sections 7 and 13 of Prevention of Corruption Act, 1988 registered at Police Station City Hansi, Hisar.

2. The case set up is that there is a group operating for claiming insurance claims of death of cancer patients showing it to be a road side accident death. The allegation against the petitioner is that he is an Advocate playing a role as facilitator between family of deceased and the officials, including doctors. The allegations are supported by an audio recording.

3. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner is in custody since 9th November, 2021. He submits that petitioner was granted bail in other FIRs having similar allegations. He relies upon the fact that co-accused Dr. Vijay Sarup was granted bail by this Court. He further on instructions submits that petitioner is ready to give his voice sample, if so required.

4. Learned State counsel opposes the prayer for grant of regular

bail and submits that the petitioner is involved in other FIRs. She further

submits that the challan stands presented.

5. Without commenting upon the merits of the case, considering the custody period of the petitioner, petitioner volunteers to give his voice sample, no further recovery is to be made, though investigation is complete, conclusion of the trial is likely to take time, petitioner is granted bail on furnishing surety bonds to the satisfaction of the Chief Judicial Magistrate/Duty Magistrate concerned.
6. The petition is allowed.
7. It is clarified that the observations made hereinabove shall not be construed as an expression of opinion on the merits of the case.

(AVNEESH JHINGAN)
JUDGE

19th September, 2022
Parveen Sharma

Whether reasoned/speaking	Yes/No
Whether reportable	Yes/No