

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT  
CHANDIGARH**

228

**CRM-M-5229-2022**

**Date of Decision: 02.08.2022**

Sunita

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana

...Respondent

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE GURVINDER SINGH GILL**

Present: Mr. Mohan Singh Rana, Advocate, for the petitioner.

Mr. Rajiv Sidhu, DAG, Haryana.

**GURVINDER SINGH GILL, J. (Oral)**

At the very outset, learned counsel for the petitioner submits that he may be permitted to withdraw the instant petition.

In view of the aforesaid submission, the petition is dismissed as withdrawn.

Since it has been informed that only 2 PWs out of cited 41 PWs have been examined till date, this Court deems it appropriate to issue the following directions:

- (i) The trial Court shall frame a schedule of dates in advance for summoning the witnesses and shall also endeavour to record the statements of the PWs whose presence is duly secured. Special Messengers be deputed for securing the presence of the PWs. If deemed necessary, a letter may be written to the Senior Superintendent of Police concerned, for getting the needful done for ensuring timely presence of PWs.

(ii) The prosecution is directed to ensure the presence of all the PWs before the trial Court on the dates as may be fixed by the trial Court for recording prosecution evidence. The District Attorney concerned to take necessary steps for the purpose of securing the presence of the remaining PWs.

**02.08.2022**

Vimal

**(GURVINDER SINGH GILL)**  
**JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned: **Yes/No**

Whether reportable: **Yes/No**