

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CWP-2075-2022(O&M)
Date of Decision: 04.02.2022**

Raghubir Singh and others

..... Petitioners

versus

State of Haryana and others

..... Respondents

**CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE TEJINDER SINGH DHINDSA
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE LALIT BATRA**

Present: Mr.Pankaj Yadav, Advocate for the petitioners.

TEJINDER SINGH DHINDSA, J. (ORAL)

This case has been taken up through Video Conferencing via Webex facility in the light of Pandemic Covid-19 situation and as per instructions.

The precise prayer made in the instant petition is for issuance of a mandamus directing the respondent-HSVP, Gurugram to consider the claim of petitioner No.1 for allotment of a residential plot under the oustee quota and as per the advertisement issued under the Oustees Scheme vide memo dated 03.09.2018 (Annexure P-10).

Against the backdrop of the afore-noticed prayer, a specific query was put to the counsel as to whether petitioner No.1 has even submitted an application for allotment of a residential plot under the oustee quota. Response is in the negative.

Without there being even an application having been submitted

by petitioner No.1 for purposes of allotment of a residential plot under the
oustee quota, the prayer made in the instant petition cannot be accepted.

Petition is dismissed.

(TEJINDER SINGH DHINDSA)
JUDGE

(LALIT BATRA)
JUDGE

04.02.2022
sunita

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether Reportable	Yes/No