

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA  
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CRM-M-4836-2022(O&M)  
Date of decision:-21.2.2022**

Gursewak Singh

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab

...Respondent

**CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE H.S. MADAAN**

**Present** : Mr.A.S. Brar, Advocate  
for the petitioner.

\*\*\*\*

**H.S. MADAAN, J.(ORAL)**

Case taken up through video conferencing.

This second petition under Section 438 Cr.P.C. for pre-arrest bail has been filed by the petitioner Gursewak Singh, aged 23 years, resident of Madhe Road, near Water Tank, Nihal Singjwala, District Moga, an accused in FIR No.212 dated 21.12.2020, under Sections 304-B/498-A IPC, registered with Police Station Nihalsinghwala, District Moga. The first petition filed by the petitioner had been dismissed vide a detailed order dated 14.1.2021.

This second petition has been filed by the petitioner contending that there has been change in circumstances.

However, Hon'ble Apex Court in a recent judgment **G.R.**

**Ananda Babu Versus The State of Tamil Nadu & Anr.** arising out of SLP(Crl.) No.213 of 2021 has observed as under:

*“As a matter of fact, successive anticipatory bail applications ought not to be entertained and more so, when the case diary and the status report, clearly indicated that the accused (respondent No.2) is absconding and not cooperating with the investigation. The specious reason of change in circumstances cannot be invoked for successive anticipatory bail applications, once it is rejected by a speaking order and that too by the same Judge.”*

Therefore, the present petition is not maintainable and stands dismissed accordingly.

**21.2.2022**  
**Brij**

**(H.S.MADAAN)**  
**JUDGE**

**Whether reasoned/speaking : Yes/No**

**Whether reportable : Yes/No**