

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

205

CRM-M-4629-2022

Date of Decision: March 29, 2022

Darshan Singh

.....Petitioner(s)

Vs.

State of Punjab

.....Respondent(s)

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANOOP CHITKARA

Present: Mr. Jupinder Pal Singh Brar, Advocate for the petitioner.

Ms. Jaspreet Kaur, AAG, Punjab

ANOOP CHITKARA J. (ORAL)

Vide order dated 04.02.2022, this Court had granted interim anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that in compliance of the order dated 04.02.2022, the petitioner has joined the investigation.

3. This fact has not been disputed by the learned State counsel on instructions received from ASI Gobind Singh. However, learned State counsel has opposed the bail. He further submits that in case this Court is inclined to give the bail to the petitioner then it should be subject to the strict and stringent conditions.

4. Given the nature of allegations, no pre-trial incarceration is required. Without commenting on the case's merits and the circumstances peculiar to this case, the petitioner makes a case for release on bail.

5. Given above, the present petition is allowed. The order dated 04.02.2022, is hereby made absolute subject to the further condition as envisaged hereinunder:-

a) Given the nature of allegations and the other circumstances peculiar to this case, the appellants shall surrender all weapons, firearms, ammunition, if any, along with the arms license to the concerned authority within ten days from today and inform the Investigator about the compliance. However, subject to the Indian Arms Act, 1959, the appellants shall be entitled to renew and take it back in case of acquittal in this case.

b) He shall stay away at a distance of 01 km from the house of the complainant till the statements are recorded.

**(ANOOP CHITKARA)
JUDGE**

March 29, 2022

SONIA ARORA
2022.03.29 16:08
I attest to the accuracy and
integrity of this document

Whether speaking/reasoned:
Whether reportable:

Yes/No
No