

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

136

CR-192-2021

Date of decision: 23.09.2022

VAISHNO DASS GUPTA

..Petitioner

Versus

RAMESH KUMAR & ORS

..Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANIL KSHETARPAL

Present: Mr. Swarn Tiwana, Advocate
for the petitioner.

ANIL KSHETARPAL, J(Oral)

Both the Courts have refused to grant relief of temporary injunction while dismissing an application under Order 39 Rule 1 and 2.

Admittedly, a similar suit filed by the petitioner, previously, was dismissed as withdrawn on the basis of a compromise.

The petitioner prays that the defendants be restrained from alienating the property during the pendency of the suit. The rights of the plaintiffs are protected in view of the doctrine of *lis pendens*. Any alienation of the property during the pendency of the suit shall be subject to the result of the suit.

Hence, no ground to interfere is made out.

Dismissed.

All the pending miscellaneous applications, if any, are also disposed of.

September 23rd, 2022

Ay

**(ANIL KSHETARPAL)
JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned : *Yes/No*
Whether reportable : *Yes/No*