

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

(220)

CRM -M-4829-2022

Date of Decision : February 10, 2022

Baljit Singh

.. Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab

.. Respondent

(Through Video Conferencing)

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI

Present: Mr. Munish Puri, Advocate, for the petitioner.

Mr. Sandeep Singh Deol, Deputy Advocate General, Punjab.
(keeping in view the advance copy given).

HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI J. (ORAL)

After arguing for some time, learned counsel for the petitioner prays that he be allowed to withdraw this petition, at this stage.

Ordered accordingly.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that though the petitioner has withdrawn the present petition qua the grant of regular bail but the trial Court may kindly be directed to take up the challan for consideration for passing appropriate orders and in case need be, the complainant be examined as first witness so that no prejudice is caused to the petitioner in case he is entitled for the grant of bail after the said testimony.

Notice of motion.

Mr. Sandeep Singh Deol, learned Deputy Advocate General, Punjab, who has joined the proceedings through video conference, keeping in view the service of advance copy of petition, accepts notice on behalf of the respondent-State.

Learned State counsel raises no objection to the said prayer of the petitioner.

Keeping in view the above mentioned fact, the trial Court is directed to pass appropriate orders on the final report submitted by the Investigating Agency and in case, any charges are framed, the complainant be examined as first witness expeditiously without unnecessary delay.

The present petition is disposed of in above terms.

February 10, 2022
harsha

(HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes
Whether reportable : Yes