

M/s Khara Contractors

...Petitioner

Versus

Sarvjit Singh and others

....Respondents

Coram : Hon'ble Mr. Justice B.S. Walia

Present : Mr. R.K. Girdhar, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Ayush Sarna, AAG, Punjab.

B.S. Walia, J. (Oral)

1. Prayer in the petition is for initiating action against the respondents for intentional and willful defiance of order, Annexure P-1, dated 12.07.2019, in CWP-18755-2019.

2. A perusal of order Annexure P-1 dated 12.07.2019, reveals that CWP-18755-2019, was disposed of by directing respondent No.4 to examine the claim of the petitioner against the backdrop of representation dated 15.05.2019, expeditiously and in any case within eight weeks from the date of receipt of certified copy of the order and in case, it was found that any amount was due to the petitioner, to release the same to the petitioner, without any delay.

3. Pursuant to notice issued to respondent No.4, learned AAG, Punjab, has placed on record short reply by way of affidavit of respondent No.3 along with speaking order Annexure R/1 dated 13.08.2019, accepting the claim of the petitioner to the extent of Rs.43,54,338/-. Reply

along with Annexure R/1 is taken on record. Copy thereof supplied to learned counsel for the petitioner, who on perusal thereof states that in the circumstances, the petitioner does not press the instant petition and prays for liberty to challenge order Annexure R/1 dated 13.08.2019, to the extent it denies the claim for the remaining amount as also interest on the delayed release of payment.

4. The same is not opposed to by the learned AAG, Punjab.

5. Accordingly, in view of the position noted above, as well as statement of learned counsel for the petitioner, the instant petition is disposed of as not calling for any action against the respondents under the Contempt of Courts Act, 1971 while granting liberty to the petitioner as prayed for.

6. Rule discharged.

(B.S. Walia)
Judge

04.04.2022
rajesh

Whether speaking/ reasoned :	Yes/No
Whether reportable :	Yes/No