

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

203

CRM-M-5202-2021 (O&M)

Date of decision: 08.02.2022

Ravinder Singh

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and another

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARNARESH SINGH GILL

Present:- Mr. S.S. Duhan, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Vikrant Pamboo, DAG Haryana.

HARNARESH SINGH GILL, J. (ORAL)

Case is taken up for hearing through video conferencing.

Learned State counsel points out that the order dated 21.01.2021 was passed by the learned Additional Sessions Judge, Jind, in the presence of the learned counsel for the petitioner, with a condition that the petitioner will deposit 50% of Rs. 3,56,146/- with the DHBVN.

Concededly, the said order has not been complied with by the petitioner, despite the fact that the notice was served upon the father of the petitioner.

In view of the above, no case is made out to grant any relief to the petitioner as prayed for, the petition is dismissed.

**(HARNARESH SINGH GILL)
JUDGE**

08.02.2022

Mangal Singh

Whether reasoned/speaking?

Yes/No

Whether reportable?

Yes/No