

COCp-252-2022

Date of Decision : 03.03.2022

Sarla Devi and others

...Petitioners

Versus

Prashant Kumar Aggarwal and others

....Respondents

Coram : Hon'ble Mr. Justice B.S. Walia

Present : Mr. Sahil Gupta, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Pawan Kumar Longia, AAG, Haryana.

B.S. Walia, J. (Oral)

1. Prayer in the petition is for initiating proceedings against the respondent for intentional and willful defiance of order Annexure P/1 dated 29.10.2020, in CWP-11917-2020 as well as order, Annexure P/2 dated 15.11.2021 in LPA-32-2021.

2. A perusal of orders Annexures P/1 and P/2 reveals that CWP-15746-2020 was partly allowed and the respondents were directed to include the additional marks admissible to the petitioners as per their entitlement, for having undergone relevant Computer/Training Courses exceeding duration of 20 weeks in terms of Rule 13.07 (4)(II)(9) of the Punjab Police Rules, 1934 for the purpose of considering their eligibility for the Lower School Course 2018 and in case of addition of marks, any of the petitioners crossed the Bench-mark, such petitioner was ordered to be deputed/permitted to join the said course immediately, if feasible, or

the State of Haryana against the decision in CWP-15746-2020 was partly allowed by holding the benefit allowed vide impugned judgment and decree to be admissible only to the petitioners in the said writ petition.

3. Learned Counsel contends that the instant petition was filed on account of failure of the respondents to do the needful.

4. At the outset, learned DAG, Haryana, has produced copy of order dated 03.03.2022, allowing the benefits to the petitioners as per entitlement in terms of order in CWP-15746-2020 and LPA-32- 2021. The same is taken on record. Copy thereof supplied to learned counsel for the petitioners, who on perusal of the same states that in the circumstances, the petitioners are not interested in pursuing the contempt petition and the same may be disposed of such.

5. I have considered the submissions of learned counsel.

6. Admittedly, orders dated 29.10.2020 in CWP-11917-2020 and dated 15.11.2021 in LPA-32-2021 have been complied with and the petitioners granted benefit as per entitlement in terms of the aforementioned orders.

7. In the light of position noted above, as well as statement of learned counsel for the petitioner, no action under the Contempt of Courts Act, 1971, is called for against the respondents. Accordingly, the contempt petition is disposed of as such.

8. Rule discharged.

(B.S. Walia)
Judge

03.03.2022

ps