

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

110

**CR-6751 of 2018 (O&M)
Date of decision: 22.11.2022**

Hira Singh

..Petitioner

Versus

Kunnan Singh and another

..Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANIL KSHETARPAL

Present: Mr. Umesh Aggarwal, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Ms. Sarika Gupta, Advocate, for
Ms. Rupinder K. Thind, Advocate
for the respondents.

ANIL KSHETARPAL, J(Oral)

An application filed by the petitioner for permission to amend the plaint in order to seek the relief of possession while alleging that the defendants have entered the forcible possession during the pendency of the suit, has been dismissed.

The plaintiff has already filed a suit for decree of declaration with a consequential relief of permanent injunction. In view of any development during the pendency of the suit, the Court is entitled to mould the relief in order to deliver substantive justice between the parties. If the Court ultimately comes to a conclusion that the plaintiff has been dispossessed during the pendency of the suit, the court will accordingly mould the relief.

Disposed of accordingly.

All the pending miscellaneous applications, if any, are also disposed of.

November 22, 2022

(ANIL KSHETARPAL)
JUDGE

nt

<i>Whether speaking/reasoned</i>	:	<i>Yes/No</i>
<i>Whether reportable</i>	:	<i>Yes/No</i>