

CRM-M-3780-2020
Date of decision-19.01.2022

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE MANOJ BAJAJ

Mr. Sukhdeep Parmar, DAG, Haryana.

MANOJ BAJAJ, J.

Learned counsel for the petitioners has invited the attention of the Court to the order dated 29.01.2020 whereby while issuing notice of motion, the interim protection was extended to the petitioners. The said order reads as under:-

VANITA
2022.01.25 16:47
I attest to the accuracy and
integrity of this document

Police Station Chhachhrauli, District Yamuna Nagar to which Section 325 of the IPC, Sections 29, 32 and 33 of the Indian Forest Act, 1927 and Sections 27, 29 and 35(5) of the Wild Animals Preservation Act, 1972 were added later on. Learned Counsel for the petitioner has submitted that the petitioners could not appear on the date of hearing due to disruption of transport services caused by agitation against the Citizenship Amendment Act and their absence was due to reasons beyond their control and was not deliberate and intentional. In support of his submission, learned Counsel for the petitioner has submitted photostat copy of clipping of the news published in Hari Bhumi, Rohtak Yamuna Nagar dated 20.12.2019 regarding the said protest.

Notice of motion.

On the asking of the Court, Mr. Arjun Singh Yadav, Asstt. A.G., Haryana accepts notice on behalf of the respondent-State. Copy of the paper book has been supplied to learned State Counsel, who seeks time to complete his instructions.

Adjourned to 03.04.2020.

In the meanwhile, the petitioners are directed to appear before the trial Court within seven days from today and on such appearance they shall be released on interim bail on furnishing of bail bonds by them to the satisfaction of the trial Court. Interim bail is granted to them subject to the condition that the petitioners shall pay expenses, as assessed by the trial Court, to the witnesses who were present on that date of hearing and could not be examined due to their absence.”

Learned counsel for the petitioners further contends that in deference to the said order, the petitioners submitted themselves before the trial Court and later on joined the investigation. According to him, the petitioners cooperated with the Police Authorities during the investigation and furnished requisite bonds to the satisfaction of the Investigating Officer/Arresting Officer.

According to learned learned State counsel who is assisted by SI Subhash Chand, the petitioners submitted themselves before the trial Court in compliance of the order dated 29.01.2020 and have also joined the

investigation. He further states that the petitioners are not required for custodial interrogation for the time being.

Considering above, the petition is allowed and the interim bail granted by this Court vide order dated 29.01.2020 is made absolute.

(MANOJ BAJAJ)
JUDGE

19.01.2022

vanita	Whether speaking/reasoned :	Yes	No
	Whether Reportable :	Yes	No