

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT  
CHANDIGARH**

CWP-2435-2021 (O&M)  
Date of decision:- 20.07.2022

Dr. Deep Shikha Sharma

...Petitioner(s)

Versus

State of Haryana and others

...Respondent(s)

**CORAM:** **HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAVI SHANKER JHA, CHIEF JUSTICE**  
**HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ARUN PALLI**

Present: Mr. Sandeep Suri, Advocate,  
for the petitioner.

Mr. Deepak Balyan, Additional Advocate General, Haryana.

Mr. Parmod Sharma, Advocate,  
for respondents No. 4 and 5.

\* \* \* \*

**RAVI SHANKER JHA, C.J. (ORAL)**

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that in view of the fact that respondent No. 6, namely, Dr. Sunil Kumar has been granted admission, the writ petition has been rendered infructuous to that extent. He submits that the petitioner may be permitted to withdraw the same with liberty to assail the objectionable clause(s) of the policy as and when cause of action arises leaving that question open to be considered in a subsequent petition.

Prayer made is allowed.

The writ petition stands disposed of as withdrawn with the aforesaid liberty.

**(RAVI SHANKER JHA)**  
**CHIEF JUSTICE**

**(ARUN PALLI)**  
**JUDGE**

**20.07.2022**

Amodh Sharma

|                           |        |
|---------------------------|--------|
| Whether speaking/reasoned | Yes/No |
| Whether reportable        | Yes/No |