

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

212

CRM-M-5640-2021

DATE OF DECISION: 20.01.2022

SANDEEP THAPAR

... Petitioner(s)

Versus

STATE OF PUNJAB

... Respondent(s)

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANUPINDER SINGH GREWAL

Present: Mr. Gagan Pardeep Singh Bal, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. V.G. Jauhar, Senior DAG, Punjab.

ANUPINDER SINGH GREWAL, J. (ORAL)

Case taken up through video conferencing.

The petitioner is seeking anticipatory bail in FIR No.442 dated 08.12.2020, under Sections 420, 465, 467, 468, 471, 120-B IPC, registered at Police Station Division No.5, Jalandhar.

Learned counsel for the petitioner has drawn my attention to the affidavit dated 16.12.2021 filed by the Assistant Commissioner of Police, (West) Jalandhar, wherein it is stated that enquiry was conducted by AIG (Investigation) and as per enquiry report, no offence is made out against the petitioner. He has referred to the copy of the enquiry report annexed as Annexure R-1 with the affidavit.

It is, thus, apparent that in the afore-noted case, there would not be any apprehension of arrest of the petitioner in view of the enquiry report at this stage.

Consequently, the petition stands disposed of at this stage.

In the case of necessity for effecting the arrest of the petitioner at a later stage, he shall be served with an advance notice of 7 days to enable him to avail his legal remedies.

(ANUPINDER SINGH GREWAL)
JUDGE

20.01.2022

SwarnjitS

Whether speaking/reasoned	:	Yes / No
Whether reportable	:	Yes / No