

230
IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

CRWP No.891 of 2020
Date of Decision: 15.02.2022

Harpreet Kaur and another

....Petitioner(s)

Versus

State of Haryana

.....Respondent(s)

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JASGURPREET SINGH PURI

Present: None for the petitioners.

Mr. Ranvir Singh Arya, Addl. Advocate General, Haryana.

JASGURPREET SINGH PURI, J. (Oral)

The matter was called twice but nobody has caused appearance on behalf of the petitioners. On the last two dates as well i.e. on 29.11.2021 and 11.01.2022 nobody had caused appearance on behalf of the petitioner.

Since the matter pertains to prayer for grant of protection of life and liberty to the petitioners, this Court will proceed on the basis of the pleadings made in the petition as well as the reply filed by the State of Haryana.

The present petition has been filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India with a prayer to grant protection to the life and liberty of the petitioners since they have married with each other and they are major but were facing threats from the private respondents who are family members of petitioner No.1.

Mr. Ranvir Singh Arya, learned Additional Advocate General,

Haryana has submitted that a short reply by way of affidavit has been filed by Assistant Commissioner of Police, Panchkula. He referred to the reply filed by the Assistant Commissioner of Police, Panchkula in which it has been stated that statement of petitioner No.1 was recorded on 12.03.2020 and she knows petitioner No.2 for the last 4/5 years from college time and had agreed to perform marriage with him but her parents were not agreeing to perform the marriage and on 19.01.2020 she had performed the marriage with petitioner No.2 against the wishes of their parents and without intimation to them and there was a danger to them from respondent Nos. 6 to 10. However, now 45 days have been passed and there is no threat from them and now the petitioners are residing in Panchkula and it was stated by petitioner No.1 that if in future any threat is received from her family members, then she will inform the concerned authorities accordingly. It is further stated in the affidavit that on 12.03.2020 the statement of respondent Nos. 6 to 10 were also recorded and they have stated that the aforesaid Harpreet Kaur had married with Jagjit Singh without intimation to them with her own wish and now there is no concern of Harpreet Kaur with their family and she has been disowned by them from their movable and immovable property and they have no objection on their marriage.

In view of the aforesaid factual position, no further directions are required to be issued in the present case since the cause of action does not survive.

Consequently, the present petition is hereby dismissed.

15.02.2022

rakesh

Whether speaking
Whether reportable

(JASGURPREET SINGH PURI)**JUDGE**

: Yes/No
: Yes/No