

In the High Court of Punjab and Haryana, at Chandigarh

Civil Revision No. 6666 of 2017 (O&M)

Date of Decision: 27.09.2022

M/s Saya Info Media

... Petitioner(s)

Versus

M/s Den Saya Channel Network Pvt. Ltd. and Another

... Respondent(s)

CORAM: Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kshetarpal.

Present: Mr. Ranjit Saini, Advocate
for the petitioner(s).

Mr. G.C.Shahpuri, Advocate
for the respondents

Anil Kshetarpal, J.

1. The trial Court, after noticing that the plaintiff while filing the suit has claimed recovery of a definite amount of ₹37,04,000/- has directed the plaintiff to pay the *ad valorem* court fee.
2. Heard the learned counsel representing the parties, at length and with their able assistance, perused the paper-book.
3. The learned counsel representing the petitioner contends that the suit is primarily, in the nature of rendition of accounts, therefore, the *ad valorem* court fee of ₹ 37,04,000/- could not have been directed.
4. On reading of para 15 and 16 of the plaint, it is evident that the plaintiff claims the recovery of ₹37,04,000/-. In the plaint, the plaintiff prays for decree of mandatory injunction directing the defendants to make payment of damages/loss caused to the defendant. In essence, the aforesaid

5. In view of the recent judgment in *State of Punjab and Others v. Dev Brat Sharma 2022 SCOnline SC 330*, no ground to interfere is made out. Hence, the present revision petition is dismissed.

6. The miscellaneous application(s) pending, if any, shall stand disposed of.

(Anil Kshetarpal)
Judge

September 27, 2022
“DK”

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No

Whether reportable : Yes/No