

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

(113)

CRWP-951-2022

Date of Decision : February 03, 2022

Gudia Sharma and another

.. Petitioners

Versus

State of Haryana and others

.. Respondents

(Through Video Conferencing)

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI

Present: Mr. Deepak Kumar Bartia, Advocate, for the petitioners.

Mr. Gaurav Bansal, Assistant Advocate General, Haryana.

Mr. Sukhwinder Singh Kainth, Advocate,
for respondents No.4 to 7.
(keeping in view the advance copy given).

HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI J. (ORAL)

The petitioners are seeking a direction from this Court to respondents No. 1 to 3 to protect their life and liberty as they apprehend danger to their life & liberty from respondents No. 4 to 8 i.e. parents/relatives of petitioner No.1, who are objecting to the marriage solemnized by the petitioners.

Learned counsel for the petitioners argues that it is most likely that the private respondents might cause physical harm to the petitioners as they have solemnized the marriage against the wishes of respondents No. 4 to 8. Learned counsel for the petitioners further argues that both the

petitioners are major in age and have solemnized marriage according to Hindu Rites on 31.01.2022 and they want to live their independent life without any interference from the parents/relatives of petitioner No.1 i.e. respondents No. 4 to 8. Learned counsel for the petitioners has drawn attention of this Court to the representation dated 31.01.2022 (Annexure P-7) made by the petitioners to respondent No.2-the Commissioner of Police, Panchkula, seeking protection to their life and liberty.

Notice of motion to the respondents.

Mr. Gaurav Bansal, learned Assistant Advocate General, Haryana, who has joined the proceedings through video conference, keeping in view the service of advance copy of petition, accepts notice on behalf of State of Haryana-respondents No.1 to 3. Mr. Sukhwinder Singh Kainth, Advocate, who has also joined the proceedings through video conference, accepts notice on behalf of respondents No. 4 to 7.

Learned counsel appearing on behalf of respondents No. 4 to 7 submits that respondents No. 4 to 7 have no objection with regard to the marriage solemnized by the petitioners and being responsible citizens, they will not act in a manner, which will harm the petitioners much less causing harm to the life and liberty of the petitioners.

Without making any observation on the merits of the case, especially in respect of the validity of marriage performed by the petitioners, a direction is issued to respondent No.2 to take appropriate decision on the representation, which the petitioners alleged to have made on 31.01.2022 (Annexure P-7), expressing apprehension about danger to their life and liberty at the hands of respondents No. 4 to 8 within a period of one month from the date of receipt of the copy of this order. In case any

merit is found in the representation, the appropriate action will be taken by respondent No.2 to ensure that no harm is caused to the life and liberty of the petitioners at the hands of the private respondents.

It is clarified that this order shall not be treated as an approval of this Court about the marriage of the petitioners and no opinion with regard to the validity of the marriage has been expressed in this order.

The petition stands disposed of accordingly.

February 03, 2022

harsha

(HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned? : Yes/No

Whether reportable? : Yes/No