

**111 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-4585 of 2022(O&M)

Date of Decision:17.03.2022

Rashpal Singh

.....Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and others

..... Respondents

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VINOD S. BHARDWAJ

Present: Mr. Mohit Kakkar, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Ms. Jaspreet Kaur, AAG., Punjab.

VINOD S. BHARDWAJ, J(Oral)

This case has been taken up through Video Conferencing via Webex facility in the light of Pandemic Covid-19 situation and as per instructions.

The instant petition under Section 482 Cr.P.C., has been filed for seeking direction to respondent no.2 to look into the representation dated 22.07.2021 submitted by the petitioner and to take legal action there upon in accordance with law.

A short reply by way of affidavit of Sh. Major Singh, PPS, Deputy Superintendent of Police, NRI Wing, Amritsar, dated 14.03.2022, has been filed on behalf of respondents no.1 to 5. The relevant extract of the report is reproduced hereinafter:-

“6. That it is respectfully submitted that on the basis of the detailed enquiry conducted by SHO PS NRI, District Gurdaspur, it was revealed that the petitioner Rashpal Singh and respondent no.6 Amandeep Kaur had come in contact with each other through social media application IMO in the year 2019. Their conversation turned into love affair. The petitioner with an intention to solemnize marriage with the respondent no.6 and on

his own free accord and wish, used to deposit money in the bank account of the respondent no.6, Amandeep Kaur and as per bank statement, this amount is Rs.3,10,000/-. But in the meanwhile, the relationship of the petitioner and respondent no.6, Amandeep Kaur got strained due to some issues and the respondent no.6, Amandeep Kaur refused to solemnize marriage with the petitioner. The respondent no.6 Amandeep Kaur and her parents (respondents no.7 to 9) are ready to return the above amount to the petitioner, which was deposited in the bank account of the respondent no.6, Amandeep Kaur with his own accord and wish by the petitioner, but the petitioner did not provide his bank account for depositing the above money. The respondent no.6, Amandeep Kaur is now living in Canada. As such, the dispute between the petitioner and respondents no.6 to 9 has been found to be of civil in nature, which does not require any intervention of the police. Hence, the petitioner was advised by the Enquiry Officer to pursue his dispute before the jurisdictional Ld. Court.”

It is evident from the above that the representation submitted by the petitioner has already been considered by the Competent Authority and they have found that no criminal case is made out and the dispute, if any, is in the nature of a civil dispute.

The instant petition is accordingly dismissed.

[VINOD S. BHARDWAJ]
JUDGE

March 17, 2022
rekha sharma

Whether speaking/reasoned : *Yes/No.*
Whether reportable : *Yes/No.*