

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

119

CR-6635 of 2017 (O&M)
Date of decision: 29.10.2022

Pardeep Singh	Versus	..Petitioner
Jatinder Pal Singh		..Respondent

CR-7000 of 2017 (O&M)

Pardeep Singh	Versus	..Petitioner
Jatinder Pal Singh		..Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANIL KSHETARPAL

Present: Mr. Vikas Bali, Advocate, for the petitioner.
Mr. Sukhdev Singh, Advocate, for the respondent.

ANIL KSHETARPAL, J(Oral)

These two revision petitions have been filed complaining that during the pendency of the proceedings under Order IX Rule 13 CPC to set aside the ex-parte decree, the Executing Court is proceeding with the matter, whereas the application under Order IX Rule 13 CPC is not being decided.

The learned counsel representing the respondent has produced a copy of the order passed by the trial Court on 23.07.2018, dismissing the application under Order IX Rule 13 CPC for default.

The learned counsel representing the petitioner has verified this fact and admitted that no application for restoration has been filed.

In view of the aforesaid facts, the revision petitions are rendered infructuous and are disposed of as such.

All the pending miscellaneous applications, if any, are also disposed of.

October 29, 2022

(ANIL KSHETARPAL)
JUDGE

nt

Whether speaking/reasoned : *Yes/No*

Whether reportable : *Yes/No*