

**IN THE HIGH COURT FOR THE STATES OF PUNJAB AND
HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH**

**CRM-M-4462-2022
Date of decision: 22.04.2022**

Gurmeet Kaur

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and another

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ARVIND SINGH SANGWAN

Present:- Ms,. Kiranpreet Kaur, Advocate for
Mr. Ashish Aggarwal, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Joginder Pal Ratra, DAG, Punjab.

ARVIND SINGH SANGWAN, J. (Oral)

The petitioner prays for grant of anticipatory bail in FIR No.96 dated 14.10.2021 registered under Sections 498-A, 506, 427 of Indian Penal Code, 1860 at Police station Mehta, District Amritsar Rural (Annexure P-1).

The operative part of the order dated 24.03.2022, vide which the petitioner has been granted interim bail, is reproduced below:

“Counsel for the petitioner contends that FIR is an outcome of trivial issues between husband and wife, who have been married for 13 years. He submits that the petitioner is the mother-in-law of the complainant and had disinherited her son 11 years back and they are living in a different city. He submits that there is no allegation under Section 406 IPC and no recovery is effected from the petitioner. Still further, he submits that despite the fact that complainant-respondent No.2 has been served and has not appeared before this Court, but as she is her daughter-in-law, the petitioner is willing to pay her Rs.30,000/- as litigation and travelling expenses, in case she causes

appearance before this Court.

List on 22.04.2022.”

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner, in pursuance to the order dated 24.03.2022, has already appeared before the SHO/Investigating Officer and has joined the investigation.

Learned counsel for the State, on instructions from SI Baldev Singh, has not disputed the factual position and submits that the petitioner has joined the investigation and is no more required for any further investigation.

In view of the above, the petition is allowed and the interim bail granted to the petitioner, vide order dated 24.03.2022, is made absolute subject to the conditions envisaged under Section 438(2) Cr.P.C.

22.04.2022

Waseem Ansari

(ARVIND SINGH SANGWAN)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned

Yes/No

Whether reportable

Yes/No