

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

208

CRM-M-4928-2022

Date of Decision: February 09, 2022

Jatinder Ram

.....Petitioner(s)

Vs.

State of Punjab

.....Respondent(s)

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANOOP CHITKARA

Present: Ms. Sonu Kaur, Advocate for the petitioner.
Mr. Harsimar Singh Sitta, AAG, Punjab
(Through Video Conferencing)

ANOOP CHITKARA J. (ORAL)

Vide order dated 07.02.2022, this Court had granted interim anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that in compliance of the order dated 07.02.2022, the petitioner has joined the investigation.

3. This fact has not been disputed by the learned State counsel on instructions received from ASI Shinder Singh. However, learned State counsel has opposed the bail on the ground that petitioner is the person who keeps indulging in similar kind of offences. He further submits that in case this Court is inclined to give the bail to the petitioners then it should be subject to the strict and stringent conditions.

4. Be that as it may, if those offences do not form part of this petition, then they cannot be clubbed with the present FIR. As far as, the present FIR is concerned, the petitioner has joined the investigation and no recovery is required.

4. Given the nature of allegations, no pre-trial incarceration is required. Without commenting on the case's merits and the circumstances peculiar to this case, the petitioner makes a case for release on bail.

5. Given above, the present petition is allowed. The order dated 07.02.2022 is hereby made absolute.

**(ANOOP CHITKARA)
JUDGE**

February 09, 2022
sonia arora

Whether speaking/reasoned: Yes/No
Whether reportable: No