

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CM-4395-2022 in/and
CWP No.1912 of 2022
Date of Decision:-04.04.2022

Santosh Kumar Mittal

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and others

...Respondents

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI

Present:- Mr. Sunil K. Nehra, Advocate
for the applicant-petitioner.

HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI J.(Oral)

CM-4395-2022

For the reasons mentioned in the application, the same is allowed and the additional affidavit of petitioner alongwith Annexure P-11 is taken on record.

The application stands disposed of.

CWP No.1912-2022

Learned counsel for the petitioner argues that in the present case, the petitioner was granted the selection grade of Rs.4100-5300, which was admissible to 20% of the cadre and the same was revised later on to Rs.13500-17250 w.e.f. 01.01.1996 but the petitioner has not been granted the said benefit despite the fact that a Co-ordinate Bench of this Court has

allowed the claim in the case of similarly situated employees while passing the order in **CWP No.10506 of 2002**, titled '**Dr. K.L. Kumar and others vs. State of Haryana and others**', decided on 06.10.2009, which judgment had already attained finality.

Learned counsel for the petitioner further submits that the grievance, as has been raised in the present writ petition, has also been raised in the legal notice dated 20.01.2022 (Annexure P-10), which is still pending consideration with the respondents authorities and the petitioner will be satisfied in case direction is given to the respondents to decide the legal notice dated 20.01.2022 (Annexure P-10) in a time bound manner.

Notice of motion.

Mr. Raman Kumar Sharma, Addl. A.G. Haryana accepts notice on behalf of respondents and raises no objection in deciding the legal notice dated 20.01.2022 (Annexure P-10) in a time bound manner.

Without going into the merits of the case or the claim being made by the petitioner in the present petition or in the legal notice, the respondent No.1 is directed to decide the legal notice dated 20.01.2022 (Annexure P-10) within a period of eight weeks from the date of receipt of certified copy of this order. In case, after the decision, the petitioner is found entitled for any benefits, the same be also extended to him within a period of next four weeks.

April 04, 2022
Vijay Asija

(**HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI**)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned
Whether Reportable

Yes / No
Yes / No